

Homework Set 2 — Symmetries 1

Due April 11, 2021

1. Parity is often treated as a mirror reflection. This is certainly true in 1 dimension, where $x \rightarrow -x$ may be viewed as the effect of reflecting through a (point) mirror at the origin. In three dimensions when we use a plane mirror (say lying on the $x - y$ plane), only one (z) coordinate gets reversed, whereas the parity transformation reverses all three coordinates.
Verify that reflection in a mirror in the $x - y$ plane is the same as parity followed by a π (180°) rotation about the z axis. Since rotational invariance holds for weak interactions, noninvariance under mirror reflection implies noninvariance under parity.
2. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}}$ denote the translation operator (with displacement vector \mathbf{d}); $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \phi)$ the rotation operator ($\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and ϕ are the axis and angle of rotation, respectively); and π the parity operator. Which, if any, of the following pairs commute? Why?
 - (a) $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}'}$ (with \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{d}' in different directions)
 - (b) $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}', \phi)$ ($\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}'$ in different directions)
 - (c) $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and π
 - (d) $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \phi)$ and π
3. A spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle is bound to a fixed center by a spherically symmetric potential.
 - (a) Write down the spin angular function $\mathcal{Y}_{l=0}^{j=1/2, m=1/2}$ (see, e.g., Sakurai Chapter 3)
 - (b) Express $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathcal{Y}_{l=0}^{j=1/2, m=1/2}$ in terms of some other $\mathcal{Y}_l^{j, m}$.
 - (c) Show that your result in 3b is understandable in view of the transformation properties of the operator $\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ under rotations and under space inversion (parity)

4. Because of weak (neutral-current) interactions there is a parity-violating potential between the atomic electron and the nucleus as follows:

$$V = \lambda [\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})], \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{p} are the spin and momentum operators of the electron, and the nucleus is assumed to be situated at the origin. As a result, the ground state of an alkali atom, usually characterized by $|n, l, j, m\rangle$ actually contains very tiny contributions from other eigenstates as follows:

$$|n, l, j, m\rangle \rightarrow |n, l, j, m\rangle + \sum_{n'l'j'm'} C_{n'l'j'm'} |n', l', j', m'\rangle. \quad (2)$$

On the basis of symmetry considerations *alone*, what can you say about (n', l', j', m') , which give rise to nonvanishing contributions? Suppose the radial wave functions and the energy levels are all known. Indicate how you may calculate $C_{n'l'j'm'}$. Do we get further restrictions on (n', l', j', m') ?